

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
8

9 United States of America,) CR 97-388-01-PCT-EHC
10 Plaintiff,)
11 vs.)
12 Jerome Hicks,) **ORDER**
13 Defendant.)
14 _____)
15

16 A detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing on the Petition on
17 Supervised Release were held on April 27, 2006.

18 **THE COURT FINDS** that the Defendant has knowingly, intelligently, and
19 voluntarily waived his right to a detention hearing and a preliminary revocation hearing and
20 has consented to the issue of detention being made based upon the allegations in the Petition.

21 **THE COURT FURTHER FINDS** that the Defendant has failed to sustain his burden
22 of proof by clear and convincing evidence pursuant to Rule 32.1(a)(6), FED.R.CRIM.P., that
23 he is neither a flight risk nor a danger to the community. United States v. Loya, 23 F.3d 1529
24 (9th Cir. 1994).
25
26
27
28

